

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,355	03/22/2002	Paul David James Blackler	P32286	1395
20462	7590 10/22/2002	•		•
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539		ROBINSON, BINTA M		
KING OF PRI	KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		0939	1625 DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	g
	- -		1625	?

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/019,355	BLACKLER ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Binta M. Robinson	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. The areply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 12 is/are pending in the	annlication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	• •				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	araian priority under 25 H.C.C. S	110(a) (d) as (6)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/019,355

Art Unit: 1625

Detailed Action

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-8, line 1, and lines 1 and 4 in claim 7, the term "hydrate" is indefinite because it is not a statutory class of invention. The term "compound is suggested.

B. Claim 3 recites the limitation "Figure II" in line 2, page 1 of the preliminary amendment at paper no. 1.5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

C. In claim 4, line 1, page 1 of the preliminary amendment at paper 1.5, the phrase "isolated form" is indefinite. How isolated is the hydrate.

D. In claim 5, line 1, page 1 of the preliminary amendment at paper no. 1. 5, the term "pure" is indefinite. How pure is the hydrate?

In claim 6, line 1, page 1 of the preliminary amendment at paper no. 1.5, the phrase "crystalline form" is indefinite. The compound in claim 1 is in crystalline form if it has an x ray diffraction pattern. So claim 6 does not further limit claim 1.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "Figure I" in line 2, page 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,355

Art Unit: 1625

G. In claim 2, the phrase "an infra red spectrum substantially in accordance with Figure I" is indefinite. The term "substantially" is especially ambiguous? What is the infra red spectra of the compound claimed?

In claim 7, line 1, page 10, the phrase "charactersed in that" is indefinite.

The term "wherein" is suggested.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olefsky et. al.. (See Reference B, US Patent 5708012).

Olefsky et. al. teaches the instant compound, 5-[4-[2-(N-methyl-N-(2-pyridyl)amino)ethoxy]benzyl]thiazolidine-2,4-dione, and a method of treating diabetes mellitus, with this compound. At 30, claim 26, see the instant method of treating diabetes mellitus with the instant compound. The difference between the prior art method and the instant method is the teaching of a method of treating diabetes mellitus with a nonpolymorphic compound versus a polymorphic form hydrochloride dihydrate form of 5-[4-[2-(N-methyl-N-(2-pyridyl)amino)ethoxy]benzyl]thiazolidine-2,4-dione. A polymorph is a solid crystalline phase of a given compound resulting from the possibility of at least two different arrangements of the molecules of that compound in the solid

Application/Control Number: 10/019,355

Art Unit: 1625

state. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to synthesize a polymorph of 5-[4-[2-(N-methyl-N-(2-pyridyl)amino)ethoxy]benzyl]thiazolidine-2,4-dione with different physical properties. Accordingly, the compounds and a method of treating diabetes mellitus with these compounds are deemed unpatentable therefrom in the absence of a showing of unexpected chemical results for the claimed polymorphs over

those of nonpolymorphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binta M. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on (703)308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7922 for regular communications and (703)308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0193.

Binta Robinson

October 4, 2002

ALAN L. ROTMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Alan I Rotman

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600